

### REMARKS

The Examiner's attention to the present application is noted with appreciation.

The Examiner maintained his rejection of claims 21-23 and 25-57 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Buxton et al. in view of Bell and further in view of Zawlinski. Such rejection is respectfully traversed, particularly as to the claims as amended. In accordance with the substance of the recent telephone interview with the Examiner, independent claims 21 and 40 have been amended to clarify that the display object is removed from the viewer before it is divided and that the spatial regions are mapped to the display object. None of the cited references disclose the limitations of the present invention.

Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance, and such is respectfully requested by the statutory deadline of March 16, 2006. If any issues remain the Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned agent for Applicant at the telephone number listed below.

Authorization is given to charge payment of any additional fees required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Acct. 13-4213.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Philip D. Askenazy, Reg. No. 56,721  
Direct line: (505) 998-6132

PEACOCK, MYERS & ADAMS, P.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)  
P.O. Box 26927  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87125-6927

Telephone: (505) 998-1500,  
Facsimile: (505) 243-2542

**Customer No. 005179**

G:\AMDS\Young\Portrayal 195 AF after RCE.doc